

C3



DEPARTMENTS OF THE ARMY AND THE AIR FORCE  
NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU  
1411 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3231

NGB-ARH

3 JUL 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR The Adjutant General of Tennessee, Houston Barracks, P O  
Box 41502, Nashville, TN 37204-1502

SUBJECT. Retention beyond Mandatory Removal Date (MRD) - (b) (6)

1. Under the provisions of Title 10 United States Code 14702 and NGR 635-100, paragraph 6f(1), (b) (6), AV, may be retained in an active status beyond his (b) (6). This date is the end of the month in which he attains (b) (6) and achieves eligibility for a Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) retirement annuity. During this retention period he must remain otherwise qualified unless sooner terminated for cause.

2. It should be noted that (b) (6). An MRD does not change, an officer is only retained beyond that date, on the reserve active-status list due to his employment as a dual status military technician (section 14702).

3. The authority granted in paragraph one is permissive. In the event you do not elect to retain him for the entire period of time approved, the Chief, National Guard Bureau will honor your request for earlier termination of the retention period.

4. (b) (6) retention beyond his MRD is predicated on his continued employment as a military technician. It should be noted that once (b) (6) is serving in a retention period beyond his MRD he will no longer be eligible for mobilization unless it is in the M-day position he currently holds. If he were to volunteer to mobilize in an M-day position other than the one he currently holds or volunteer for an AC position or CO-EAD, he would have to request and be approved for a Retiree Recall. This information is contained in the updated NGB-PPG under Deployability.

5. Point of contact is (b) (6), DSN 327-3297 or 703-607-3297.

FOR THE CHIEF, NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU

(b) (6)

LTC, NGB  
Chief, Personnel Policy Branch

C4

**Pages 4 – 11 were referred back to:**

Army Inspector General  
1700 Army Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20310-1700  
(703) 545-4591

**On August 31, 2015 for processing**

Annex A, Administrative Information – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

1. The board will convene at the Department of the Army Secretariat, 1600 Spearhead Division Avenue, Fort Knox, KY 40122-5001, on or about, 1 December 2010.
2. The oath specified in 10 U.S.C. § 14103 shall be administered to each member of the board. Each of you will be provided a copy of Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 1320.14, which provides specific rules governing the board process. Each board member must understand the serious nature of board duty and his or her individual responsibility to ensure that the board proceedings remain above reproach at all times; that the board adheres to law, regulations, directives and written instructions; and that the board selects the best qualified individuals who meet the needs of the Army, as prescribed herein. Failure to comply with the oath, law, regulations, directives, or these instructions may be cause to remove a member from this board.
3. In addition to the qualities listed in paragraph 4 of the Memorandum of Instruction (MOI), the following considerations are pertinent to your recommendation of officers for Federal recognition.
  - a. General officers of the line are normally assigned to positions other than State Adjutants General or State Assistant Adjutants General. Once an individual is Federally recognized as a general officer of the line, he or she can be reassigned among general officer positions within a state without loss of Federal recognition.
  - b. Adjutant General's Corps general officers have been appointed as State Military Department Heads, or their Assistants, and are recommended for Federal recognition as general officers by their respective Governors or other appropriate officials. The title of these positions is normally Adjutant General or Assistant Adjutant General, but can vary as determined by State law. State Adjutants General are usually full-time State employees. State Adjutants General or Assistant Adjutants General who are Federally recognized only in the Adjutant General's Corps are ineligible for reassignment to general officer line positions in the Army National Guard without further consideration by a Department of the Army Federal recognition board. Army National Guard Officers who are confirmed by the Senate for appointment in the grade of brigadier general or major general, Reserve of the Army, may transfer in grade to the Standby Reserve (Inactive Status List) or the Retired Reserve, if otherwise eligible.
  - c. Candidates for Federal recognition may not have had the same experience as their Active Army counterparts. Consideration, therefore, must be given to the specific skills and civilian experience of these individuals and their overall ability to contribute to the Army. The increasing importance of the Army National Guard to the Army requires that all general officers be outstanding individuals. Their visibility to the American public places a premium on the Federal recognition process.

A-1

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex A, Administrative Information – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

d. As an exception to NGR 600-100, paragraph 11-4c(1), the time-in-grade requirement for consideration of colonels and brigadier generals to the next higher grade is one year. Exceptions to this one-year, time-in-grade requirement will not be granted.

e. The board may recommend a waiver of the five-year active status service requirement, if an officer has been in an inactive status for no more than two years during the preceding five-year period (as provided in paragraph 11-4e, NGR 600-100), if the board determines that an officer is otherwise fully qualified for Federal recognition, and provided the board includes the justification for such a recommendation in its report. Such a determination, however, should be made with the full awareness that Federal recognition in a general officer grade is recognition of demonstrated capacity and potential for extended future service and is not a reward for past service. The five-year active service requirement is not applicable to State Adjutants General or Assistant Adjutants General.

f. The Secretary of the Army has deleted the battalion command/command equivalency requirement contained in NGR 600-100, paragraph 11-4h. Accordingly, the files of those officers lacking battalion command or command equivalency will not be screened out.

g. Paragraph 11-13, NGR 600-100, provides that an applicant for Federal recognition in a general officer grade who is not recommended for any reason other than medical disqualification upon initial consideration by a Federal Recognition Board, may be considered by a subsequent board. However, evidence must be provided that clearly indicates that the deficiency for which he or she was initially not recommended no longer exists and that all other specified requirements have been met. Therefore, for each officer not recommended for Federal recognition, the board shall include in its report the specific deficiency or deficiencies that form the basis for the recommendation. The board shall also recommend whether a subsequent board should reconsider the officer. This requirement is not applicable to State Adjutants General or Assistant Adjutants General. This identification of deficiencies should be as detailed as possible because the Chief, National Guard Bureau, is required to inform the promotion authority concerned of the reason an officer is not recommended for Federal recognition. As an exception to paragraph 11-9e(2), NGR 600-100, if the board recommends that a subsequent GOFRB should reconsider the officer, there is no requirement to specify the period of time that an officer should remain assigned to his or her current position before being reconsidered.

h. As an exception to NGR 600-100, paragraph 11-4h(3), officers are eligible for consideration even if they do not have sufficient time remaining to serve in an active status before reaching age 60.

A-2

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex A, Administrative Information -- December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

i. All officers must meet the medical standards for retention prescribed by AR 40-501. Paragraph 10-8d further states that physical examinations for promotion to general officer will be obtained at Active Army Medical Department Activity (MEDDAC) or Medical Center (MEDCEN) facilities, within the six months prior to the date of the convening selection board. This requirement is waived for those officers who are unable to submit a current physical examination due to recent overseas deployment in support of the overseas contingency operations. Officers falling into this category who have physical examinations recent enough to meet the basic timelines, as outlined in AR 40-501, paragraph 8-19c, will be considered to have met this requirement. An applicant not recommended due to medical disqualification may be reconsidered by a subsequent board only when the criteria specified in NGR 600-100, paragraph 11-4i, are met. In the case of State Adjutants General, if Federal recognition in a lower grade has been authorized under the provisions of NGR 635-101 despite a medical disqualification, or if a medical waiver for retention in an active status had been authorized previously, the board may recommend Federal recognition in the higher grade if it determines that the disqualification will not hamper performance of duty under mobilization conditions and recommends the officer for appointment with a waiver.

4. Instructions concerning communications and information.

a. Each of you (president, members, recorders and administrative support personnel) is responsible to maintain the integrity and independence of this board and to foster the careful consideration, without prejudice or partiality, of all eligible officers. DoDI 1320.14 provides specific rules governing the conduct of officer selection boards and the actions of board personnel and is applied to the conduct of a Federal Recognition Board as a matter of policy.

b. You must pay particular attention to the rules governing communications with and among other board members, the information authorized to be furnished to you, and the procedures you should follow if you believe that the integrity of this Federal Recognition Board has been improperly affected.

c. Except as discussed below, do not communicate with anyone except the board recorder or me concerning this board. You may not receive, initiate, or participate in communications or discussions involving information that DoDI 1320.14 precludes from consideration by a promotion selection board. You are to base your recommendations on the material in each officer's military record, any information I have provided to the board in accordance with DoDI 1320.14, and any information about his or her own record communicated to you by individual eligible officers under regulations I have issued. In your deliberations, you may discuss your own personal knowledge and evaluation of the professional qualifications of eligible officers to the extent that law, DoDI 1320.14 or Service regulation do not preclude such matters. You may not discuss or disclose the opinion of any person not a member of the board concerning an officer being considered unless that opinion is contained in material provided to the board. I am the only person who may appear in person to address you on other than administrative matters. All

A-3

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex A, Administrative Information – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

communications with this board, other than those that are clearly administrative, must be in writing, given to each of you, and made part of the board's record. I designate the personnel assigned to the Department of Army and the National Guard Bureau General Officer Management Offices, Office of the Chief of Staff, Army and the Secretariat for Department of the Army Selection Boards as the only personnel authorized to provide administrative information to you.

d. Before the report of the selection board is signed, the recommendations may be disclosed only to the members of the board, recorders, and administrative support personnel. After you sign the board report, only the recommendations of the board may be disclosed. Except as authorized by DoDI 1320.14 or Title 10, United States Code; the proceedings of the board may not be disclosed to any person unless they are a board member or board recorder.

e. If at any time you believe that you cannot, in good conscience, perform your duties as a member of the board without prejudice or partiality, you have a duty to request relief by me from this duty. I will honor any such request. If you believe that the integrity of the board's proceedings has been affected by improper influence of military or civilian authority, misconduct by the board president or a member, or any other reason, you have a duty to request from me or the Secretary of Defense relief from your obligation not to disclose board proceedings and, upon receiving it, to report the basis for your belief.

f. Upon the completion of board deliberations, you will, as a minimum, certify in your report to me that:

(1) to the best of your knowledge, the board complied with the instructions in this MOI and DoDI 1320.14;

(2) you were not subject to, nor aware of, any censure, reprimand, or admonishment about the recommendations of the board or the exercise of any lawful function within the authorized discretion of the board;

(3) you were not subject to, nor aware of, any attempt to coerce or improperly influence any action in the formulation of the board's recommendations;

(4) you were not party to, nor aware of, any attempt at unauthorized communications;

(5) to the best of your knowledge, the board carefully considered the records of each officer whose name was furnished to the board, and the board considered any adverse information pertaining to the officers whose names were furnished to the board;

A-4

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)



Annex A, Administrative Information – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

(6) the officers recommended for Federal recognition are, in the opinion of the majority of the members of the board, fully qualified to meet the needs of the Army, as noted in the written instructions furnished to the board by me, among those officers whose names were furnished to the board; and

(7) the officers recommended, including those who had adverse information furnished to the board, are in the opinion of the majority of the members of the board, fully qualified and among the best qualified to meet the needs of the Army consistent with the requirement of exemplary conduct set forth in 10 U.S.C. § 3583, as noted in the written instructions furnished to the board by me, among those officers whose names were furnished to the board.

5. A board report, containing the three lists detailed below, will be addressed to me, through the Chief, National Guard Bureau, and the Chief of Staff, Army.

a. The board will submit one report including the three lists identified below. Preface the list of officers whom the board recommends for Federal recognition as follows: "The board, acting under oath and having in view the special fitness of officers and the efficiency of the Army, has carefully reviewed the file of every officer submitted to it for consideration as specified in the Memorandum of Instruction. In the opinion of the majority of the members, the following officers were found to be fully qualified for, and are recommended for, Federal recognition in the following grades and designations."

(1) The first list will identify those officers recommended for Federal recognition. List officers alphabetically by recommended Federal recognition grade and designation.

(2) The second list will identify those officers not recommended for Federal recognition, along with the specific reason(s). Those reasons must be limited to the criteria contained in this MOI. Any reason for not recommending an officer for Federal recognition will make reference to the applicable paragraph(s) of these instructions containing the relevant criteria. The board shall include a recommendation as to whether the officer should be reconsidered by a subsequent Federal Recognition Board IAW paragraph 3f of this annex. As an exception to paragraph 11-9e(2), NGR 600-100, if the board recommends that a subsequent GOFRB should reconsider the officer, there is no requirement to specify the period of time that an officer should remain assigned to his or her current position before being reconsidered.

(3) The third list will cite those candidates not recommended for Federal recognition who should not be further considered, along with the specific reason(s). Those reasons must be limited to the criteria contained in this MOI. Any reason for not recommending an officer and not further considering him or her for Federal recognition will make reference to the applicable paragraph(s) of these instructions containing the relevant criteria.

A-5

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex A, Administrative Information – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

b. The board report will state that each list is based on a careful review of the record of each officer considered and will be signed by each member of the board. Until such time as the public announcement of the board results is made, the board members may not disclose their recommendation to anyone not directly involved in the review and processing of the board report.

c. At the discretion of the board president, a separate after action report concerning the overall quality of the officers considered and other advisory board issues may be prepared for the Chief of Staff, Army and the Chief, National Guard Bureau.

d. Upon concluding your deliberations, the president of the board may recess the board. The board will adjourn only after I approve the board report.

6. The Chief, Secretariat for the Department of the Army Selection Boards, will provide commissioned officer recorders to the board. The Secretariat staff will compile selection rate statistics for all racial, ethnic and gender categories considered. These statistics will be forwarded with the board's recommendation.

7. The National Guard Bureau will furnish the official records of the officers being considered and provide them to the DA Secretariat. An officer's record consists of the Official Military Personnel file, career resume, official photograph, and physical evaluation statement.

8. Membership, instructions and recommendations of the board shall remain FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY until public announcement is made by proper authority. Board proceedings, whether or not recorded, shall not be disclosed. Board members shall not discuss any action of the board with persons other than board members, except as may be directed by proper authority.

A-6

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex B, Additional Guidance – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States  
General Officer Federal Recognition Board

Additional Guidance.

1. No assessment of demonstrated professionalism or potential for future service can be complete or objective without a review of the individual's entire record. The total person concept should govern; isolated examples of excellence or mediocrity should not be used as sole determinants for recommending Federal recognition. The individual's record provides the most complete compilation of opinion from many sources, covers a variety of experiences, and assists in judging the whole person. However, the record should be used primarily as an assessment of potential and as an aid in predicting future contributions, rather than as a basis for rewarding past performance. As permitted in Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 1320.14, board members, in their deliberations, may discuss their own personal knowledge and evaluation of the professional qualifications of the eligible officers to the extent that law or Army regulations do not preclude such matters. Gossip and opinions of others not on the board will not be disclosed or discussed. Any question a member may have concerning this matter will be referred by that member to the recorder for resolution.

2. The Officer Evaluation Report (OER).

a. The Department of the Army (DA) Form 67-9 OER system is specifically designed to ensure that senior raters limit their Above Center of Mass (ACOM) ratings to less than fifty percent of the total profile for each grade. They are responsible for ensuring completed reports process at the US Army Human Resources Command in the desired sequence, regardless of the method used to forward them. Senior raters have been advised to limit ACOM ratings to a goal of one-third of all ratings in order to establish an adequate rating "cushion" and maintain maximum flexibility. A notable reduction in ACOM evaluations, especially in a senior rater's initial OER, is expected to occur as he/she attempts to follow this guidance. Therefore, expect Center of Mass (COM) evaluations to be the norm.

b. Board members also must watch for OERs under the present OER system when the senior rater's profile is immature, and/or when the senior rater rates a small number of officers. This information is available in the senior rater portion of the OER (Part VIIa and DA label). In these cases, the senior rater has little or no capability to render an ACOM senior rating. Therefore, board members should expect a COM rating and should pay particularly close attention to the senior rater's narrative. Officers should not be disadvantaged merely because they were rated under these circumstances.

c. Reports should be weighed in light of these factors: Length of time in the assignment; length of time covered by each report; consistency of evaluations among members of a rating chain; consistency of ratings over a period of time; and the type of observations, where specified (i.e., daily contact, infrequent observation, records and reports).

B-1

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex B, Additional Guidance -- December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

d. Board members are prohibited from considering the marital status of a military member, or the employment, education, or volunteer service of a member's spouse, in discharging their responsibilities for this board. In addition, law and DoD Directive require that performance appraisals on members of the military Services, including officer and enlisted evaluation or fitness reports, shall not contain any information regarding the employment, education, or volunteer service activities of the member's spouse, or reflect favorably or adversely on the individual based solely on the member's marital status. Although some records under review by this board may contain such prohibited information, board members must disregard it.

3. The integrity of the Federal recognition board and officer evaluation systems dictate that each officer's record is the primary basis on which officers are recommended for Federal recognition. Each board member will review the records of each officer eligible for Federal recognition and identify those officers for tentative selection. Board members may then discuss their personal knowledge of any officer under consideration. You may use information derived from this discussion to re-evaluate (re-vote) the file of any officer under consideration and add officers to, or remove officers from, the list of tentative selections.

4. Adverse Information.

a. In your deliberations, you will review each officer's official military personnel file, which may or may not include adverse information. You may also receive for review adverse information that is not part of an officer's official military personnel file. This additional adverse information was referred to the officer for comments, and upon consideration of any input submitted by the officer, I have determined that either the board is required to consider the information pursuant to statute and Department of Defense policy or I have determined that the information is substantiated, relevant, and might reasonably and materially affect your deliberations, or both.

b. When reviewing adverse information documents, you must review them in their entirety, the board will assess the date of occurrence, nature and gravity of any misconduct, malfeasance, or impropriety committed by an officer under consideration. You must consider this adverse information in the context of any comments provided by the officer and the officer's entire service record. You will endeavor to recommend officers who have consistently demonstrated the highest standards of integrity, personal responsibility and professional ethics. In making your recommendations, you should consider the significance of the adverse information in relation to the officer's qualifications and potential for promotion, and you must determine to what extent the adverse information may diminish the officer's ability to effectively serve as a general officer.

B-2

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

Annex B, Additional Guidance – December 2010 Army National Guard of the United States General Officer Federal Recognition Board

c. In applying this standard, keep in mind that the selection of an officer for (or within) the general officer ranks should be based on the high standards we expect of our senior leaders, to include the requirement of exemplary conduct prescribed in title 10, United States Code, section § 3583. The integrity of the selection process should never come under question. The Army is required to report substantiated adverse information with the nomination, where it will come under scrutiny by both DoD and the Senate Armed Services Committee. The Senate will determine whether to give its advice and consent based on the record of the officer, including adverse information, if any, which may reflect on an officer's judgment, integrity, or other qualities necessary to demonstrate potential to perform at a higher grade. Be aware of the potential impact on and cost to the Army if you select an officer who has substantiated adverse action and either DoD or the Senate does not support the selection. Accordingly, you must be convinced that the selection of an officer with substantiated adverse information is in the Army's best interest. Nevertheless, it is wholly within your discretion to recommend for Federal recognition a clearly deserving officer despite substantiated adverse information.

d. 10 U.S.C. § 3583 provides that all commanding officers and others in authority in the Army are required to:

(1) show in themselves a good example of virtue, honor, patriotism and subordination;

(2) be vigilant in inspecting the conduct of all persons who are placed under their command;

(3) guard against and suppress all dissolute and immoral practices, and to correct, according to the laws and regulations of the Army, all persons who are guilty of them; and

(4) take all necessary and proper measures, under the laws, regulations and customs of the Army, to promote and safeguard the morale, the physical well-being, and the general welfare of the officers and enlisted persons under their command or charge.

B-3

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

C5

NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU  
GENERAL OFFICER MANAGEMENT OFFICE



NGB-GO, 111 South George Mason Drive, Building 2, Arlington, VA 22204

**Senate Confirmation  
Announcement Number: GO-11-015**

*(This is the official notification of the following personnel actions)*

Subject: Senate Confirmation Announcement of Army National Guard General Officers

1. I am pleased to advise that on 2 August 2011 the Senate confirmed the following Army National Guard officers for Reserve of the Army appointment in the grade indicated. I join the Secretary of the Army, Chief of Staff of the Army and the Acting Director, Army National Guard in extending congratulations.

2. The following officer appointments to major general or brigadier general are contingent upon the extension of Federal Recognition:

a. To Major General:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Assignment</u>	<u>State</u>
Elicerio, David J.	Commander, 34th Infantry Division	MN
Ketchum, Gerald W.	Director, J7/Commander, Standing Joint Forces Headquarters, USSOUTHCOM	MS
Wells, Joe M.	Director, J-2/6, National Guard Bureau	GA

b. To Brigadier General:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Assignment</u>	<u>State</u>
Alewel, Randy A.	Commander, 35th Engineer Brigade	MO
Beevers, Matthew P.	Assistant Adjutant General	CA
Calhoun, Michael A.	Assistant Adjutant General	FL
Wilmot, David E.	Deputy Chief of Staff/Assistant Surgeon General for Mobilization, Readiness and National Guard Affairs, Department of the Army	IN

3. This announcement serves as the Certificate of Eligibility for the officers listed below. This certificate expires no later than 1 August 2013 or upon reaching MRD, whichever occurs first.

a. To Major General:

<u>Name</u>	<u>State</u>
Bogle, Stephen E.	IA
Cariello, Dominic A.	WI
Enyeart, David B.	OR
Gordon, Sheryl E.	AL
Huff, Ronald W.	SC
Seekins, William L.	ND
Swan, Richard E.	AR

Subject: Senate Confirmation Announcement of Army National Guard General Officers

b. To Brigadier General:

<u>Name</u>	<u>State</u>
Allen, Fred W.	IL
Best, Joel E.	CO
Bobeck, Michael E.	NY
Bongiovanni, Joseph M.	WV
Bracewell, Brent E.	GA
Brewer, Allen E.	MS
Bridges, Leon M.	AK
Bush, Eric C.	OR
Campbell, Scott A.	KY
Coats, William R.	NC
Copes, Brian R.	IN
Cox, Albert L.	IL
Crockett, Sylvia R.	CA
Ethridge, Terry A.	TN
Gattis, Karen D.	AR
Griese, Kevin R.	SD
Jansen, John J.	ME
Jorgensen, Catherine F.	AK
Lagace, Donald O. Jr.	ME
Landreth, Louis J.	AR
Lee, William S.	MD
Martin, Jerry H.	AL
Mason, Robert A.	AR
McGalliard, Craig M.	GA
Morgan, Christopher J.	AL
Nehls, Todd M.	WI
Neumann, Kevin L.	NE
Ortner, Blake C.	VA
Osburn, Michael J.	IN
Runck, Lannie D.	ND
Schwartz, George M.	PA
Seiler, Gina D.	TX
Sullivan, Terence P.	NV
Tate-Nadeau, Alicia A.	IL
Wilkinson, Thomas P.	VA
Williams, Timothy P.	VA
Wolf, Wilbur E. III	PA
Wood, David C.	IN

4. Questions may be directed to NGB-GO at (703) 607-3643 or DSN 327-3643.



CRAIG R. MCKINLEY  
General, USAF  
Chief, National Guard Bureau



C6



**NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU**  
111 SOUTH GEORGE MASON DRIVE, AHS2  
ARLINGTON VA 22204-1382

ARNG-HRH

10 April 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR The Adjutant General of New Mexico, ATTN: Military Personnel Officer, New Mexico Army National Guard, 47 Bataan Boulevard, Santa Fe, NM 87508-4695

SUBJECT: Request for Exception to Policy of Calendar Year 2012 Selective Retention Board (SRB) Separation Date for [REDACTED]

1. Your request for an exception to policy to retain (b) (6) beyond his current SRB separation date extension of (b) (6) is approved. (b) (6) new mandatory separation date is (b) (6). This approval is based on (b) (6) qualifications and the State's need for a qualified comptroller. This is an exception to policy of the CY 12 SRB policy guidance.

2. This exception is based specifically on your current needs and is not to be considered as a precedent for an exception to policy for future boards. (b) (6) is not eligible for future consideration for retention by a board. He must be separated and transferred to the USAR no later than (b) (6) unless further extended under another provision of statute or regulation.

3. Point of contact for this memorandum is (b) (6) 703-607-3292, DSN 327-3292 or (b) (6)

FOR THE CHIEF, NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU:

[REDACTED] (b) (6)  
DR. GILBERT J. ORALES  
Date: 2013.04.10 8:10:08 -04:00

(b) (6)  
LTC, AG  
Chief, Personnel Policy Division

SSN 575-4901



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU  
111 SOUTH GEORGE MASON DRIVE  
ARLINGTON VA 22204-1382

ARNG-HRH

3 July 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR The Adjutant General, New Mexico, 10 Bataan Boulevard, Santa Fe, NM 87508-4695

SUBJECT: Request for Exception to Policy of Calendar Year 2012 (CY12) Selective Retention Board (SRB) Separation Date - (b) (6)

1. Your request for an exception to policy to retain (b) (6) beyond his established SRB separation date is approved. This exception will allow (b) (6) to qualify for a technician retirement annuity. This is an exception to policy of the CY12 SRB policy guidance and NGR 635-102, paragraph 5I.
2. (b) (6) is not eligible for future consideration for retention by a board. He must be separated and transferred to the USAR immediately upon the completion of the aforementioned process or no later than 15 April 2013.
3. This exception is based specifically on your current needs and is not to be considered as a precedent for an exception to policy for future boards.
4. Point of contact is (b) (6), Officer Policy Branch, DSN 327-5822, 703-607-5822 or email at (b) (6).

FOR THE CHIEF, NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU:

(b) (6)

COL, IN  
Chief, Personnel Policy Division



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU  
111 SOUTH GEORGE MASON DRIVE  
ARLINGTON VA 22204-1382

410-04-2911

ARNG-HRH

03 OCT 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR The Adjutant General, Tennessee, Houston Barracks, P.O. Box 41502, Nashville, TN 37204-1502

SUBJECT: Retention beyond Mandatory Removal Date (MRD) - (b) (6)

1. Your request to retain (b) (6) in an active status beyond his MRD of (b) (6) under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, section 14702 and NGR 635-100, is approved. He must remain otherwise qualified during this retention period unless sooner terminated for cause.
2. (b) (6) official MRD is (b) (6). He is retained beyond that date, on the reserve active-status list, due to his employment as a dual status military technician (section 14702) for essentiality.
3. The authority granted in paragraph one is permissive. In the event you do not elect to retain him for the entire period of time approved, the Chief, National Guard Bureau will honor your request for earlier termination of the retention period.
4. (b) (6) retention beyond his MRD is predicated on his continued employment as a military technician. It should be noted that once (b) (6) is serving in a retention period beyond his MRD he will no longer be eligible for mobilization unless it is in the M-day position he currently holds. If he volunteers to mobilize in an M-day position other than the one he currently holds or volunteers for an AC position, CO-ADOS or ADOS, he would have to serve as a Retiree Recall. This information is contained in the Department of the Army updated Personnel Policy Guidance (PPG).
5. Point of contact is (b) (6), Officer Policy Branch, DSN 327-5822, 703-607-5822 or via email at (b) (6) arr (b) (6)

FOR THE CHIEF, NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU:

(b) (6)

COL, IN  
Chief, Personnel Policy Division

C8



**NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU**  
1636 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON DC 20301-1636

Special Order  
GO-114-01

23 April 2012

By order of the Secretary of the Army, and direction of the President, the following Army National Guard of the United States officer is extended Federal recognition and appointed as a Reserve of the Army in the grade shown, per 32 USC 305 and 307, and 10 USC 12211. When appropriate, will be terminated in accordance with 10 USC 1370(d), 12213 and 14314(a).

Name	(b) (6)
SSN	(b) (6)
Duty Position	Director, Joint Staff
State	Tennessee
Rank	Brigadier General
Type	LINE
Effective	23 April 2012

BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARIES OF THE ARMY AND THE AIR FORCE:



CRAIG R. MCKINLEY  
General, USAF  
Chief, National Guard Bureau

DISTRIBUTION:  
1 - State MILPO  
1 - Individual Concerned (GO Record)

NGB Form 122 (Oct 00)

(NGB-GO)