Perfluorooctane-Sulfonic Acid (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) Impacted Sites ARNG Installations, Nationwide

August 2019

#### Prepared for:



Army National Guard Headquarter 111 S. George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201

#### Prepared by:

AECOM 12420 Milestone Center Drive, Suite 150 Germantown, MD 20876 aecom.com

Contract Number: W912DR-12-D-0014 Delivery Order: W912DR17F0192

# **Table of Contents**

Exe	ecutive Summary	
1.	Introduction	3
	1.1 Authority and Purpose	3
	1.2 Preliminary Assessment Methods	
	1.3 Report Organization	
	1.4 Facility Location and Description	
	1.5 Facility Environmental Setting	
	1.5.1 Geology	
	1.5.2 Hydrogeology	
	1.5.3 Hydrology	
	1.5.4 Climate	
	1.5.5 Current and Future Land Use	
2.	Fire Training Areas	10
3.	Non-Fire Training Areas	
4.	Emergency Response Areas	
5.	Adjacent Sources	13
	5.1 Air National Guard Property	13
	5.2 Landfills	13
6.	Conceptual Site Model	15
7.	Conclusions	16
	7.1 Findings	16
	7.2 Uncertainties	
	7.3 Potential Future Actions	17
8.	References	19

i

# **Figures**

Figure ES-1	Summary of Findings
Figure 1-1	Facility Location
Figure 1-2	Groundwater Features
Figure 1-3	Surface Water Features
Figure 5-1	Adjacent Sources
Figure 7-1	Summary of Findings

### **Tables**

Table 7-1. Determinations of No Suspected Release

Table 7-2. Uncertainties within the PA

# **Appendices**

Appendix A Data Resources

Appendix B Preliminary Assessment Documentation

B.1 Interview Records

B.2 Visual Site Inspection Checklists

B.3 Conceptual Site Model Information

Appendix C Photographic Log

# **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

°F degrees Fahrenheit

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

AFFF aqueous film forming foam

AOI Area of Interest

ARNG Army National Guard

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability

CERCLA

Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CSM conceptual site model

FTA fire training area

gpm gallons per minute

IED Installations & Environment Division

OHANG Ohio Air National Guard

OHARNG Ohio Army National Guard

PA Preliminary Assessment

PFAS per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances

PFOA perfluorooctanoic acid

PFOS perfluorooctanesulfonic acid

US United States


USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

# 1 Executive Summary

- 2 The United States (US) Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Baltimore District on behalf of the
- 3 Army National Guard (ARNG)-Installations & Environment Division (IED), Cleanup Branch
- 4 contracted AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) to perform *Preliminary Assessments (PAs)*
- 5 and Site Inspections for Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
- 6 Impacted Sites at ARNG Facilities Nationwide. The ARNG is assessing potential effects on human
- 7 health related to processes at facilities that used per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS),
- 8 primarily in the form of aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) released as part of firefighting activities,
- 9 although other PFAS sources are possible.
- 10 AECOM completed a PA for PFAS at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station in Mansfield, Ohio to assess
- 11 potential areas of PFAS use, release, or storage and potential exposure pathways to receptors.
- 12 In 2007, the Ohio Air National Guard (OHANG) granted a permit to the Ohio Army National Guard
- 13 (OHARNG) to use 0.541 acres of land for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a 6,847
- 14 square foot fire station located on Mansfield Lahm Air National Guard Base. The permit expired
- 15 in March 2017 and was renewed; the expiration date of the new permit is unknown. The
- 16 performance of this PA included the following tasks:
- Reviewed data resources to obtain information relevant to suspected PFAS use, storage, or release
- Conducted a site visit on 25 July 2018
- Interviewed current Ohio Army National Guard (OHARNG) personnel during the site visit
- Completed visual site inspections to confirm absence of PFAS use, release, or storage locations and documented with photographs
- 23 No Area(s) of Interest (AOIs) related to potential PFAS use, release, or storage were identified at
- 24 the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station during the PA, although several potential PFAS sources are
- 25 located adjacent to the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station, within OHANG property (Figure ES-1). The
- 26 OHANG is currently conducting their own PFAS study. Contact the OHANG for further information.

1



28

29

### 1. Introduction

# 1.1 Authority and Purpose

- 30 The United States (US) Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Baltimore District on behalf of the
- 31 Army National Guard (ARNG)-Installations & Environment Division (IED), Cleanup Branch
- 32 contracted AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) to perform *Preliminary Assessments (PAs)*
- 33 and Site Inspections for Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
- 34 Impacted Sites at ARNG Facilities Nationwide under Contract Number W912DR-12-D-0014, Task
- Order W912DR17F0192, issued 11 August 2017. The ARNG is assessing potential effects on
- 36 human health related to processes at facilities that used per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances
- 37 (PFAS), primarily in the form of aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) released as part of firefighting
- 38 activities, although other PFAS sources are possible. In addition, the ARNG is assessing
- 39 businesses or operations adjacent to the ARNG facility (not under the control of ARNG) that could
- 40 potentially be responsible for a PFAS release.
- 41 PFAS are classified as emerging environmental contaminants that are garnering increasing
- 42 regulatory interest due to their potential risks to human health and the environment. PFAS
- formulations contain highly diverse mixtures of compounds. Thus, the fate of PFAS compounds
- in the environment varies. The regulatory framework at both federal and state levels continues to
- 45 evolve. The US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) issued Drinking Water Health
- 46 Advisories for PFOA and PFOS in May 2016, but there are currently no promulgated national or
- 47 state of Ohio standards regulating PFAS in drinking water. In the absence of federal maximum
- 48 contaminant levels, some states have adopted their own drinking water standards for PFAS.
- 49 This report presents findings of a PA for PFAS at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station in Mansfield,
- 50 Ohio, in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and
- 51 Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution
- 52 Contingency Plan (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Part 300), and USACE requirements
- 53 and guidance.

58

- 54 This PA documents potential fire training areas (FTAs) as well as other locations where PFAS
- may have been used, stored, or released into the environment at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station.
- 56 The term PFAS will be used throughout this report to encompass all PFAS chemicals being
- 57 evaluated, including PFOS and PFOA, which are key components of AFFF.

# 1.2 Preliminary Assessment Methods

- The performance of this PA included the following tasks:
- Reviewed data resources to obtain information relevant to suspected PFAS use, storage, or release
- Conducted a site visit on 25 July 2018
- Interviewed current Ohio Army National Guard (OHARNG) personnel during the site visit
- Completed visual site inspections to confirm absence of PFAS use, release, or storage locations and documented with photographs

# 66 1.3 Report Organization

- This report has been prepared in accordance with the USEPA Guidance for Performing
- 68 Preliminary Assessments under CERCLA (USEPA, 1991). The report outline is as follows:

- **Section 1 Introduction:** identifies the project purpose and authority and describes the facility location, environmental setting, and methods used to complete the PA
- Section 2 Fire Training Areas: describes the FTAs at the facility identified during the site visit
- Section 3 Non-Fire Training Areas: describes other locations of PFAS releases at the facility identified during the site visit
- Section 4 Emergency Response Areas: describes areas of AFFF release at the facility,
   specifically in response to emergency situations
- Section 5 Adjacent Sources: describes sources of PFAS release adjacent to the facility that are not under the control of ARNG
- Section 6 Conceptual Site Model: describes the pathways of PFAS transport and receptors for the Area(s) of Interest (AOIs) and the facility
- Section 7 Conclusions: summarizes the data findings and presents the conclusions of the PA
- Section 8 References: provides the references used to develop this document
- Appendix A Data Resources
- Appendix B Preliminary Assessment Documentation
- Appendix C Photographic Log

# 87 1.4 Facility Location and Description

- 88 Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is located within Mansfield Lahm Air National Guard Base in
- 89 Mansfield, Ohio. Located in the middle of Richland County, Mansfield is half way between the
- 90 cities of Cleveland and Columbus (Figure 1-1). Mansfield Lahm Regional Airport, previously
- 91 Mansfield Municipal Airport, includes 210 acres occupied by the OHANG since 1948. The airport
- 92 is capable of handling large commercial and military aircraft.
- 93 In 2007, the OHANG granted a permit to the OHARNG to use 0.541 acres of land for the
- 94 construction, operation, and maintenance of a 6,847 square foot fire station located on Mansfield
- 95 Lahm Air National Guard Base (see **Appendix A**). The original term of the permit was 10 years.
- 96 which expired in March 2017. A renewal permit has been granted; however, a copy of the permit
- 97 was not available for this PA.
- The Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is home to the 5694<sup>th</sup> Engineer Detachment unit. The OHARNG
- 99 was responsible for the construction of the fire station and its subsequent required upkeep. The
- 100 fire station has two bay doors and is capable of holding two firetrucks; however, most of the square
- 101 footage of the fire station consists of administrative offices to support the OHARNG. The two
- firetrucks present in the fire station are tactical firefighting trucks, capable of combating five types
- of fires and able to deploy in almost any terrain (ONG, 2017).
- Besides administrative support, the fire station is only responsible for the storage of the firetrucks.
- The firetrucks are available for deployment in relation to military defenses but are only used for
- annual training exercises located off-site. According to interviewee knowledge, this training does
- not include the use of AFFF, only water.

108

# 1.5 Facility Environmental Setting

- Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is located in the Appalachian Highlands region of Ohio. The
- 110 Appalachian Highlands encompass the eastern part of the state and are characterized by

- alternating plateaus and plains and a higher relief than the adjacent Interior Plains. The terrain
- around the facility exhibits moderate relief, with the facility occupying a local topographic high.
- 113 The elevation of the facility is approximately 1,295 feet above mean sea level. The facility is
- located within the Mansfield Lahm Regional Airport; the airport is surrounded by farmland and
- deciduous forest to the north and west, industrial buildings to the south, and by farmland, a
- 116 railroad yard, and a race track to the east.

#### 117 1.5.1 Geology

- 118 Mansfield Lahm Fire Station lies within the Appalachian Plateaus physiographic province,
- 119 Killbuck-Glaciated Pittsburgh Plateau district. The Killbuck-Glaciated Pittsburgh Plateau district
- 120 contains ridges and flat uplands covered with thin glacial drift and dissected by steep valleys. The
- district is bounded to the west and north by the Allegheny and Portage Escarpments and to the
- south and east by the Wisconsinan glacial margin (ODGS, 1998).
- Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is situated on thin to thick Wisconsinan-age clay to loam till (ODGS,
- 124 1998). The glacial till unit is underlain by the Cuyahoga Formation, a Mississippian sedimentary
- bedrock unit composed of sandstone, siltstone, and shale. The sandstone can be silty to
- 126 conglomeratic and commonly intergrades with the siltstone and shale (Slucher, E.R. et al., 2006).

#### 127 1.5.2 Hydrogeology

- Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is located in the Appalachian Plateaus aguifer system. The aguifer
- system has two hydrologic units within the vicinity of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station: (1) the
- surficial aquifer system and (2) the Mississippian aquifer. The surficial aquifers consist of thin
- lenses of sand and gravel interbedded in thick layers of clayey till. Water-bearing deposits are
- frequently not encountered in the surficial aquifers in this area. The Mississippian aquifer is
- 133 composed primarily of Cuyahoga Formation sandstone. Yields from the sandstone are generally
- 5 to 20+ gallons per minute (gpm). Yields of greater than 250 gpm have been achieved in wells
- 135 deeper than 275 feet (Schmidt, 1979).
- The property is located on the southwestern side of a topographic high point, and groundwater
- generally flows radially from the topographic high. Groundwater generally flows to the south and
- west in the direction of Rocky Fork, a stream located approximately 1.4 miles west from the facility
- boundary (**Figure 1-2**). While the water table shows variability around the facility, typical depth to
- water ranges from 14 to 118 feet below ground surface (ODNR, 2018). No potable water wells
- are located within the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station; however, domestic wells and monitoring wells
- exist within a mile of the facility, including at the Mansfield Lahm Regional Airport (**Figure 1-2**).

#### 143 1.5.3 Hydrology


- Regional surface water features include Rocky Fork, which is a tributary of the Mohican River.
- No surface water currently enters Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. Surface water runoff from the
- 146 north, west, and central areas surrounding the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station collects in an
- underground drainage system on the southwest of OHANG property. This drainage system then
- discharges to an off-site outfall in Rocky Fork. Six intermittent streams originate within 3,000 feet
- of the facility and flow radially away from the facility from the southeast to the west. All of the
- intermittent streams flow into Rocky Fork. One retention pond is located approximately 1,400 feet
- south of the facility boundary (**Figure 1-3**).


#### 152 1.5.4 Climate


- 153 The climate in Mansfield is temperate, humid subtropical, with cool to cold winters and long, hot
- summers. The average temperature is 58.8 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), with summer highs of 80 °F
- and winter lows of 20.5 °F. Average annual precipitation is 44.2 inches (NOAA, 2018).

#### 156 1.5.5 Current and Future Land Use

- 157 Directly north of the facility lies the Mansfield Lahm Regional Airport, which owns and operates
- Runways 5, 14, 23, and 32. Residential areas primarily occupy land south of the facility, with
- agricultural and forested areas occupying most of the land to the east, north, and west of the
- 160 facility.
- Mansfield Lahm Fire Station consists of one building within the OHANG base. The original permit
- granted to the OHARNG allowed for the use and occupancy of 0.541 acres of the OHANG Base.
- 163 The construction, operation, and upkeep of a fire station was managed by OHARNG shortly after
- the executed permit. Most of the fire station consists of administrative offices in support of
- 165 OHARNG operations. Only two firetrucks are located within the fire station and are used for
- 166 training purposes and military deployment overseas. The Mansfield Lahm Fire Station will
- 167 continue to conduct joint trainings with other OHARNG and OHANG personnel; however, this
- training will occur in various locations across Ohio and does not include the use of AFFF. Future
- land use at Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is not anticipated to change.







#### **Fire Training Areas** 2. 173

Based on interviewee knowledge of the Mansfield Lahm facility history since its construction in 2007, no FTAs were identified during this PA. 174

175

# 176 3. Non-Fire Training Areas

- No non-FTAs where AFFF was potentially stored and/or released were identified during the PA.
  According to interviews with facility personnel, and based on visual inspection of the fire station
- and firetrucks, the firetrucks are not equipped with AFFF tanks. Additionally, no fire suppression
- system is present within the fire station, and no AFFF was or ever has been stored at the fire
- station since its construction in 2007.

# **4. Emergency Response Areas**

Based on interviewee knowledge of the facility history since its construction in 2007, no emergency response areas were identified within the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station.

# 185 5. Adjacent Sources

- The Mansfield Lahm Fire Station sits within the OHANG's 179th Airlift Wing (179AW). During the
- 187 PA, several areas of potential use, storage, or release, not under control of OHARNG, were
- identified within the OHANG facility. The 179AW has utilized AFFF since the 1970s; therefore,
- these ares have the potential to impact soil, sediment, and surface water within the OHANG
- property. Descriptions of the adjacent sources are presented below and are shown on Figure 5-
- 191 **1**.


192

# 5.1 Air National Guard Property

- 193 According to a PA conducted by engineering firm BB&E, several potential AFFF releases have
- occurred on OHANG property that are adjacent to the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station (BB&E, 2016).
- The Mansfield Lahm Fire Station sits within the OHANG's 179th Airlift Wing (179AW). The 179AW
- 196 has utilized AFFF since the 1970s, and may be a potential source of PFAS. The OHANG is
- 197 currently conducting PFAS investigations at the 179AW. Contact the 179AW for any details on
- 198 the status of this investigation.
- 199 Based on surface water flow directions surrounding the facility, there is the potential for AFFF
- 200 releases from OHANG property to impact the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. Surface water runoff
- from the north, west, and central areas surrounding the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station collects in an
- 202 underground drainage system on the southwest of OHANG property. This drainage system then
- 203 discharges to an off-site outfall in Rocky Fork. Any surface water runoff not captured within the
- 204 underground drainage system has the potential to flow through the property boundary of the
- 205 Mansfield Lahm Fire Station, leaving the potential for AFFF releases to impact the Mansfield Lahm
- 206 Fire Station.

#### 207 5.2 Landfills

- 208 A number of landfills are located within Richland County, Ohio. The closest landfill to the Mansfield
- 209 Lahm Fire Station is the former Richland County Solid Waste Landfill, located approximately four
- and a half miles southwest of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. The geographic coordinates are:
- 211 40°46'16.86"N; 82°33'37.55"W. The landfill historically accepted various wastes including
- 212 hazardous materials; it was closed more than ten years before the construction of the Mansfield
- 213 Lahm Fire Station.
- 214 Landfills are not usually a primary release area of PFAS, but materials disposed in landfills may
- 215 create a secondary source of contamination. Such materials may include used AFFF storage
- 216 containers or products associated with waterproofing uniforms or boots. No information obtained
- 217 at Mansfield Lahm Fire Station indicated PFAS-related materials were disposed of in the former
- 218 landfill area.
- 219 Based on visual inspections, interviews with facility personnel, and online research, other
- 220 historical or currently active landfills are not located near the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station.



222

# 6. Conceptual Site Model

- Based on the PA findings from interviews with facility personnel, on-facility observations, review of Environmental Data Resource reports, and online research, no release areas were identified as AOIs at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. A conceptual site model (CSM) identifies three components necessary for potentially complete exposure pathways related to a site: (1) source, (2) pathway, and (3) receptor. If any of these elements are missing, the pathway is considered incomplete. However, since no PFAS sources were identified to originate at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station or from activities associated with the facility, CSMs were not developed.
- Nearby off-facility sources were identified during this PA. According to the Ohio State Water Wells database, approximately 30 private drinking water wells are located within a 0.5-mile radius of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station, with several of these wells located on OHANG property (BB&E, 2016). Previous sampling of private drinking water wells was conducted in 2016 and 2017 by the Ohio EPA, approximately 3.5 miles northeast of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. All samples displayed non-detect values for PFOA and PFOS.

#### **Conclusions** 7.

- 237 This report presents a summary of available information gathered during the PA on the use and
- storage of AFFF and other PFAS-related activities at Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. The PA findings 238
- 239 are based on the information presented in Appendix A and Appendix B.

#### 7.1 **Findings**

236

240

256

- 241 Based on information obtained during interviews conducted with facility personnel, facility
- 242 observations, and reviewed documentation, it is confirmed that AFFF has not been stored, used,
- or released at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station; therefore, no AOIs related to PFAS release were 243
- 244 identified at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station.
- 245 Interviewee knowledge from OHARNG personnel at the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station dates back
- to at least 2013. Evidence obtained during the PA supports that current or former ARNG facility 246
- 247 activities have not contributed to any potential PFAS contamination in soil, groundwater, surface
- 248 water, or sediment. No potential areas of PFAS use, release, or storage, current or historic, were
- 249 identified at the Mansfield Lahm Airport. However, several potential PFAS sources are located
- 250 adjacent to the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station, within OHANG property (Figure 7-1). Therefore, a
- potentially complete exposure pathway exists for PFAS contamination in surface water in 251
- 252 association with off-facility sources.
- 253 The area presented in Table 7-1, discussed in further detail in Section 2 through Section 5, was
- 254 determined to have no suspected release:

#### 255 **Table 7-1. Determinations of No Suspected Release**

No Suspected Release Area	Used by	Rationale for No Suspected Release Determination
Mansfield Lahm Fire Station	OHARNG	Based on interviews conducted during the site visit, visual inspection of the facility, and facility-related document review, no use, storage, or potential release of AFFF occurred at this facility.

#### 7.2 **Uncertainties**

- 257 A number of information sources were investigated during this PA to determine the potential for
- 258 PFAS-containing materials to have been stored, used, or released at the facility. Historically,
- 259 documentation of PFAS use was not required because PFAS were considered benign. Therefore,
- 260 records were not typically kept by the facility or available during the PA on the disposition and use
- 261 of PFAS in training, firefighting, or other non-traditional activities.
- 262 The conclusions of this PA are predominantly based on the information provided during interviews
- with personnel who had direct knowledge of PFAS use at the facility. Sometimes the provided 263
- 264 information was vague or conflicted with other sources. Gathered information has a degree of
- 265 uncertainty due to the absence of written documentation, the limited number of personnel with
- 266 direct knowledge due to staffing changes, the time passed since PFAS were first used (1969 to
- 267 present), and a reliance on personal recollection. Inaccuracies may arise in potential PFAS
- 268 release locations, dates of release, volume of releases, and the concentration of AFFF used.
- 269 There is also a possibility the PA has missed a source of PFAS, as the science of how PFAS may
- 270 enter the environment continually evolves.
- 271 In order to minimize the level of uncertainty, readily available data regarding the use and storage
- of PFAS were reviewed, current personnel were interviewed, multiple persons were interviewed 272

- for the same potential source area, and potential source areas were visually inspected. Based on
- interviews and historical document review, uncertainties related with this PA are minimal, and any
- 275 potential present or historic AFFF-related activity at Mansfield Lahm Fire Station is unlikely.
- **Table 7-2** summarizes the uncertainties associated with the PA:


#### 277 Table 7-2. Uncertainties within the PA

278

Area	Source of Uncertainty
Mansfield Lahm Fire Station	Direct interviewee knowledge is not available from the date of construction in 2007 until 2013.

### 7.3 Potential Future Actions

- 279 Based on the documented absence (2007-present) of the use, storage, or release of PFAS-
- 280 containing materials at Mansfield Lahm Fire Station, no AOIs were identified during the PA.
- 281 Evidence does not indicate that current or former ARNG activities contributed PFAS
- contamination to soil, groundwater, surface water, or sediment at the facility or adjacent areas.
- 283 Mansfield Lahm Fire Station will not move forward in the CERCLA process.



285	8.	References
286 287		Inc. 2016. Final Perfluorinated Compounds Preliminary Assessment Site Visit Report, Mansfield Air National Guard Base Mansfield, Ohio.
288 289 290 291		Al Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2018. "Data Tools: 1981-2010 Normals: Mansfield Lahm Regional Airport, OH US." NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdoweb/datatools/normals. August 8, 2018.
292 293 294		epartment of Natural Resources (ODNR), Division of Water Resources. 2018. Ohio Water Well Viewer. <a href="https://gis.ohiodnr.gov/MapViewer/?config=waterwells.">https://gis.ohiodnr.gov/MapViewer/?config=waterwells.</a> December 2018.
295 296 297		ivision of Geological Survey (ODGS). 1998. "Physiographic regions of Ohio." <i>Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geological Survey</i> , page-size map with text, 2 p., scale 1:2,100,000.
298 299 300		ational Guard (ONG). 2017. Ohio Army, Air National Guard Firefighters Continuously Hone Skills: 5694 <sup>th</sup> Firefighters Train at Air Force Reserve Facility in Youngstown for AT. <a href="https://www.ong.ohio.gov/stories/2017/Oct/20171013-5694th.html">https://www.ong.ohio.gov/stories/2017/Oct/20171013-5694th.html</a> . August 2018.
301 302		lt, J.J. 1979. "Ground-Water Resources of Richland County." Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water, Ground Water Resources Section, map with text, 1 p.
303 304	Sluche	r, E.R., Swinford, E.M., Larsen, G.E., <i>et al.</i> 2006. "Bedrock Geologic Map of Ohio." <i>ODGS</i> , Map BG-1, version 6.0, scale 1:500,000.
305 306		., 1991. Guidance for Performing Preliminary Assessments under CERCLA. EPA/540/G-September 1991.

# **Appendix A Data Resources**

Data Resources will be provided separately on CD. Data Resources for Mansfield Lahm Fire Station includes:

#### **Mansfield Leases, Licenses, and Permits**

- 2007 Department of the Army License No. DACA27-3-07-259 located at Mansfield-Lahm Air National Guard Base, Ohio
- 2007 Department of the Air Force Permit No. DACA27-4-07-258 located at Mansfield-Lahm Air National Guard Base, Ohio

#### **Previous Investigations Completed at Mansfield**

• 2016 BB&E Final Perfluorinated Compounds Preliminary Assessment Site Visit Report Mansfield Air National Guard Base (See separate PDF of document)

#### **Mansfield Installation Maps**

- 2018 Installation Map
- 2018 Aerial Photos

#### **Mansfield EDR Report**

2018 Mansfield Lahm Fire Station EDR Report

# Appendix B Preliminary Assessment Documentation

# **Appendix B.1 Interview Records**

Facility: Mansfilld Interviewer: V. KIR Patrick Date/Time: 7 25 18

Interviewee: SFC YOUNG SAT LEVILLE Can your name/role be used in the I Title: Readings NCO Aircraft Inspector Can you recommend anyone we can Phone Number: a will obtain from Katies Y or N  Email: Awill obtain to Tait	
Roles or activities with the Facility/Years working at the Facility:	
- OHARNG staff informally interviewed retired	tion (emp 2013-Present) near by (emp at wast since 2014)  DHANG
personnel, however, they wished to not have the title use; of hand retirel's confirmed absence of PT PFAS Use: Identify accidental/intentional release locations, time frame of release, freq storage container size (maintenance, fire training, firefighting, buildings with suppressi builts), fueling stations, crash sites, pest management, recreational, dining facilities, m waterproofing). How are materials ordered/purchased/disposed/shared with others?	FAS a) OHARNG Mansfilla uency of releases, on systems (as
No spills releases storage as fire	Known Uses
station since construction	Use
STATION STREET CONSTRUCTION	Procurement
Fire trucks Stay parked in facility;	Disposition
some routine maintenance;	Storage (Mixed)
trucks do not have AFFF capabilities	Storage (Solution)
THACES NO THE THAT CAPADITIES	Inventory, Off-Spec
No areas identified near FS (a)	Containment
	SOP on Filling
surrounding air port	Leaking Vehicles
Trucks only deploy for off-site training	Nozzle and Suppression System Testing
Trucks only deploy for off-site training and oversees military support	Dining Facilities
The state of the s	Vehicle Washing
	Ramp Washing
	Fuel Spill Washing and Fueling Stations
	Chrome Plating or Waterproofing

# Appendix B.2 Visual Site Inspection Checklists

### **Visual Survey Inspection Log**

		Recorded by:	V. Kirkpatrick
		ARNG Contact:	Joe Davis
Source/Release Information		Date:	7/25/2018
Site Name / Area Name / Unique ID:	Mansfield Lahm Fire Static		
Site / Area Acreage:	Approx. 0.50 acres		
Historic Site Use (Brief Description):	Fire Station built in 2007 for support of t	actical training and military operations	
		· · ·	
Current Site Use (Brief Description):	Administrative support and support of m	ilitary operations	
1. Was AFFF used (or spilled) at the site/ar	ea? Y/N		
, <b>1</b>		ire fighting training 2001 to 2014):	
Ta. II yes, document	how AFFF was used and usage time (e.g., f	the righting training 2001 to 2014):	
2. Has usage been documented?	Y / <u>N</u>		
	cord (place electronic files on a disk):		
3. What types of businesses are located nea	·	Commercial / Plating / Waterproofing / Reside	ntial
	sinesses are located near the site	11'	
4. Is this site located at an airport/flightline	unicipal Airport and various commerical bui	ldings	
	description of the airport/flightline tenants:		
	unicipal Airport occupied by the City of Mai	nsfield and OHANG	
Other Significant Site Features:			
1. Does the facility have a fire suppression	system? Y/N		
	which type of AFFF has been used:		
1b. If yes, describe	maintenance schedule/leaks:		
1c. If yes, how ofter	is the AFFF replaced:		
<u> </u>			
1d. If yes, does the	facility have floor drains and where do they l	lead? Can we obtain an as built drawing?	
Transport / Pathway Information			
Migration Potential:			
1. Does site/area drainage flow off installat	ion? Y/N		
1a. If so, note obser			
	age system discharges to Rocky Fork		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X7 / X7	
2. Is there channelized flow within the site/		<u>Y</u> /N	
` <del>-</del>	e observation and location:		
	ige system discharges to Rocky Fork	X7 / X1	
3. Are monitoring or drinking water wells l		<u>Y</u> /N	
3a. If so, please note			
	s located with a mile of the facility	X7 / X7	
4. Are surface water intakes located near th		Y / <u>N</u>	
4a. If so, please note	the location:		
C:::C:			
Significant Topographical Features:	e/area? Y/N		
1. Has the infrastructure changed at the site			
Ta. II so, please desc	cribe change (ex. Structures no longer exist):		
2. Is the site/area vegetated?	Y/N		
· ·			
Za. II not vegetated,	briefly describe the site/area composition:		
2 Doos the site on one bible id 6	orogion? V/N		
3. Does the site or area exhibit evidence of			
3a. If yes, describe t	he location and extent of the erosion:		
4 Doos the site/surs subject 6	onding on standir	V/N	
4. Does the site/area exhibit any areas of po	•	Y / <u>N</u>	
4a. If yes, describe t	he location and extent of the ponding:		

### **Visual Survey Inspection Log**

Receptor Informat	ion
1. Is access to the site r	restricted? Y/N
_	1a. If so, please note to what extent:
<u>.</u>	Guarded and gated entrance to facility
2. Who can access the	site? <u>Site Workers / Construction Workers</u> / Trespassers / Residential / Recreational Users / Ecological
<u>.</u>	2a. Circle all that apply, note any not covered above:
<u>-</u>	
3. Are residential areas	located near the site? $\underline{\underline{Y}} / N$
<u>-</u>	3a. If so, please note the location/distance:
<u>-</u>	Residential areas primarly occupy land to the south of the facility
4. Are any schools/day	care centers located near the site? Y / N
-	4a. If so, please note the location/distance/type:
<u>-</u>	
5. Are any wetlands loo	cated near the site? Y / N
<u>-</u>	5a. If so, please note the location/distance/type:
<u>.</u>	
Additional Notes	
Photographic Log	

Photographic Log		
Photo ID/Name	Date & Location	Photograph Description
1	7/25/2018	One of the firetrucks inside of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. This truck does not contain an AFFF-capable tank.
2	7/25/2018	View of two of the firetrucks from the back of the fire station. Picture was taken at the entrance door into the fire station from the administration side. No fire suppression system is present in this fire station and no storage of AFFF occurs at this location.

# Appendix B.3 Conceptual Site Model Information

# **Preliminary Assessment – Conceptual Site Model Information**

Site Name: Mansfield LAHM Fire Station
Why has this location been identified as a site?  Presence of a firestation with two firetrucks.
Tresence of a mestarion wanter of metabasis
Are there any other activities nearby that could also impact this location?
Yes, adjacent airport and ANG property with known historic releases
Training Events
Have any training events with AFFF occurred at this site? No
If so, how often? N/A
How much material was used? Is it documented? N/A
<b>Identify Potential Pathways:</b> Do we have enough information to fully understand over land surface water flow, groundwater flow, and geological formations on and around the facility? Any direct pathways to larger water bodies?
Surface Water:
Surface water flow direction? Generally to the southwest, south, and southeast.
Average rainfall? 44.2 inches/year
Any flooding during rainy season? No
Direct or indirect pathway to ditches? Indirect
Direct or indirect pathway to larger bodies of water? Indirect
Does surface water pond any place on site? No
Any impoundment areas or retention ponds? No
Any NPDES location points near the site? No
How does surface water drain on and around the flight line? Surface water flows in a southernly direction; however, no surface water currently enters the facility. Any potential surface water runoff would be collected in an underground drainage system that then discharged to Rock Fork.

# **Preliminary Assessment – Conceptual Site Model Information**

Groundwater:
Groundwater flow direction? In the same direction as surface water, generally southwest, south, and southeast
Depth to groundwater? Typically 14-118 ft bgs
Uses (agricultural, drinking water, irrigation)? Industrial and some domestic
Any groundwater treatment systems? No
Any groundwater monitoring well locations near the site? Yes, see Figure 1-2
Is groundwater used for drinking water? Yes, a combination of both surface water and 10 supply wells
Are there drinking water supply wells on installation? No
Do they serve off-post populations? N/A
Are there off-post drinking water wells downgradient? Yes
Waste Water Treatment Plant:
Has the installation ever had a WWTP, past or present? No.
If so, do we understand the process and which water is/was treated at the plant? N/A
Do we understand the fate of sludge waste? No
Is surface water from potential contaminated sites treated? Unknown
Equipment Rinse Water
1. Is firefighting equipment washed? Where does the rinse water go? N/A
1. Is morighting equipment washed. Where does the timbe water go. 17/11
2. Are nozzles tested? How often are nozzles tested? Where are nozzles tested? Are nozzles cleaned after use? Where does the rinse water flow after cleaning nozzles?
N/A
3. Other? N/A

# **Preliminary Assessment – Conceptual Site Model Information**

Identify Potential Receptors:
Site Worker: None
Construction Worker: None
Recreational User: None
Residential: None
Child: None
Ecological: None
Note what is located near by the site (e.g. daycare, schools, hospitals, churches, agricultural, livestock)? Airport directly adjacent to the north; park and elementary school approx. 2.5 miles southwest; correctional facility approx. 2.5 miles south;
Documentation  Ask for Engineering drawings (if applicable).
Has there been a reconstruction or changes to the drainage system? When did that occur?
N/A

Appendix C
Photographic Log

# APPENDIX C - Photographic Log

Army National Guard, Preliminary Assessment for PFAS

**Mansfield Lahm Fire Station** 

Mansfield, Ohio

#### Photograph No. 1

#### **Description:**

One of the firetrucks inside of the Mansfield Lahm Fire Station. This truck does not contain an AFFF-capable tank.



#### Photograph No. 2

#### **Description:**

View of two of the firetrucks from the back of the fire station. Picture was taken at the entrance door into the fire station from the administration side. No fire suppression system is present in this fire station and no storage of AFFF occurs at this location.

